

Larry Sinclair

Chow down your popcorn and try to bear with me as it's a long post. Sorry for that but this subject requires some detailed explanation. Hopefully, you'll find it worth while and a good send off to SinLiar before he runs for it to get away from law enforcement closing in on him.

There are only two pieces of document evidence SinLiar has ever submitted to support his alleged liaison with Obama in Chicago: the Choice Hotels Privileges statement and the subsequent Choice Privileges points claim for the evening of Nov 7th after the original statement got debunked when it didn't include Nov 7th. I'd like to review them. Here they are for your quick reference again:

Choice Hotels Statement Provided By Larry

Hotel Record Provided By Larry

Recap

As of July 2008, here's what we had already found out about these documents:

The Choice Hotels executive Mitch spoke with said that of all the information available to Choice Hotel customers, the Privileges Points statement information is the least reliable. They laughed when Mitch asked them if it could be used as evidence in court. Hypothetically, SinLiar could have made the reservation for a family member and ANYONE could have shown up and checked into the hotel. Choice Hotels maintains that points may be rewarded without the "proof" of a hotel receipt. This occurs when the person calling waits a year or more to report their stay to Choice. As we all know, Larry has never produced a true receipt for his stay and neither has the hotel. Further, if there's any bickering with a customer, they quickly just give them the benefit of the doubt and award the points. But we know from SinLiar's track record of recorded phone calls that he never bickers with anyone – even the White House staff!!

To prove one was in a hotel on a certain day, even if Larry had a receipt – which he does not, that doesn't cut it as proof. For example, one would also need to see the signature of the person signed in and verify that against other signatures and one would need a witness that could identify seeing them there. Otherwise, it remains unproven. Larry has none of that so he was already well short of the mark even if what he provided was legitimate but as you'll see below, it was never legitimate as evidence.

On July 15th, 2008, Mitch posted a report from a private investigator who went to Choice Hotels on M&N's behalf when we questioned various pieces of data on these documents.

Receipt Investigation Report

The private investigator established with Choice Hotels what we suspected all along: that some of the hotel points provided to SinLiar on that statement relating to Chicago had been for stays that had NEVER been invoiced. Choice Hotels added that some of those points had been added more than a year after the stay but they couldn't tell when. They said that they were added sometime between a year after November, 1999 and the date of the private investigator's visit on July 6, 2008.

The private investigator concluded that there was "NO evidence that Sinclair was at the Comfort Suites when he claimed to have been" and "These statements appear to have been a well crafted forgery"

With all due respect to the private investigator, I take exception to the words "appear" and "well crafted" as I think we can prove it's bogus evidence beyond a reasonable doubt.

One other historical item to add that I made reference to above: When SinLiar originally came out with his story, the dates and timeline was questioned. I remember this well on his first site (before WordPress) or on Youtube. In fact, what the posters established there was that his story didn't add up with the hotel dates he originally provided. There were not enough hotel dates to cover his second encounter with

Obama. Mysteriously and amazingly, when the posters logic couldn't be refuted, the second set of Hotel points claims appeared. I think some of you will recall this. As of July, 2008, we had crudely established motive, means and opportunity and the "appearance" of a "well crafted forgery". But in fact, as it turns out, we already "had" considerably more.

Proof of how recent those documents actually got produced

After migrating back up from Mexico in 2006, where he apparently was wanted for questioning about his Mexican Mafia buddies, by mid 2007, SinLiar was wearing out his welcome and soon departed Laredo, TX in the wake of his bad check to Janet Bowman and her statement of claim for recovery and an incident at a hotel that was and probably still is under investigation. In early September, 2007, SinLiar found himself busted and in jail for disorderly conduct in Kershaw County, SC. In late September, he put in an insurance claim for \$4,600+ in damages to his car in Maryland and then five or so days later filed a theft claim for that same bashed up car in Delaware that had the insurance companies wondering what was going on (and they probably still are). In mid October, 2007, SinLiar passed \$2,700+ in counterfeit money orders on to the Delaware motel manager that we all came to appreciate after the arrest that ended SinLiar's June 2008 press conference. SinLiar was pretty darn busy and mobile for a "totally disabled" guy collecting disability! But the police visit to his Delaware motel room of October 27th had to spook him and obviously he wasn't around when they came back. So the Delaware Grand Jury got called and made up an "invitation" for him to return that got served at the end of his June 2008 press conference and that ultimately cost SinLiar's sheep thousands in restitution and legal bills.

We have it on pretty good authority that SinLiar had found a place to rent in Delaware and had signed a lease. He'd also received temporary money from Delaware to help with his living expenses at the motel and all signs pointed to him getting covered by Delaware SSA. So this money order business (I mean SinLiar!) messed up SinLiar's plans and he had to make a hasty exit from Delaware after late October, 2007. Time proved he had little intention of paying back the Delaware motel manager on his own as he basically disappeared with a word.

"I moved to Laredo Texas from Tepic, Nayarit, Mexico in February 2006. I left Laredo on August 27, 2007 with the plan of returning to the DC/Maryland area or the New York areas. Spent a couple of months visiting with friends I had not seen for several years in GA., SC, NC, VA, DE, and PA and NJ. I got to the point where I did not care to pay that much money for rent. So I started driving to Ohio, then Indiana, then to IL an on to Iowa and then up to Minnesota and fell in love with the architecture and decided to stay. So you go a head on and tell your little fake story about me in Texas. " Larry SinLiar, Mar 20, 2008 on his website

The statement isn't entirely true because it looked like his living arrangements in Delaware were going to be pretty cheap with the state kicking in and he apparently signed a lease to stay to confirm that decision. What is true is that he moved on and eventually wound up in Duluth, MN in late 2007. And from his description above, you can tell that he hadn't spent any time there before.

Now after all that background, look at the address on the original attached Choice hotels points statement. It's Duluth, MN. SinLiar, by his own account above isn't likely to have arrived in Duluth until mid November or later (December as I vaguely recall): "So I started driving to Ohio, then Indiana, then to IL an on to Iowa and then up to Minnesota" – which had to have taken time to find which state SSA office would make an easy mark for continuing to provide him SSA dollars. Duluth, MN "won" that contest.

Let me state that again for absolute clarity: the ORIGINAL Choice hotels statement SinLiar provided as "proof" was mailed to an address that didn't exist for SinLiar until around the middle of Nov 2007 – at the earliest – months after he started to shake down the Obama campaign!

But as we should recall, that original statement was missing the subsequent Choice Hotel points SinLiar needed to make his story work after we had debunked the original Choice Hotel statement in January/February, 2008. Therefore, those missing Choice Hotel points claims HAD to have come AFTER he was in Duluth because they weren't on the first statement and very likely after we'd debunked his first statements. In other words, he amended Choice Hotel points in the first quarter or so of 2008 for a stay

that happened more than eight years before! He didn't disclose to anyone what he did to produce those second set of documents. And he thinks that serves as "proof" ??? What a pathetic stupid joke!

That's the first major eyebrow raiser that was new to me when I looked this stuff over.

Additionally, when one does such a thing, and then tries to pass it off without disclosing how it came about, that's fraud/deception/forgery when money is involved ("Make Noise and click my PayPal") – or whatever you want to call it, it stinks. Clearly, SinLiar was up to his old, life long tricks as a career fraudulent felon. But this time, his mark was a candidate for President of the United States and whatever the American people he could sucker into believing him.

Dates on the Original Statement

The purpose of a statement is to show what a situation looked like at a particular point in time. Therefore, the dating of when the statement actually got produced is normally standard data processing practice. In fact, the date of production is very important and why we commonly see them on statements. But we don't have that with SinLiar's original statement. Did the copy we saw have it erased to hide the fact that it was printed after he made his claim?

Secondly, look at the dates on the original statement. They're not in order. Take it from a guy whose been in the computer business for decades – that's unusual. Something happened to have it appear out of sort order for transactions months apart and therefore, the data isn't very likely to have arrived in the same order as those dates happened but there's a couple of months activity jammed in between two November dates. It's very suspicious. Somebody was very likely messing with that information before that statement got printed. It suggests an unusual timing problem with the acquisition and storage of that data. It wouldn't show up on most customers statements because the data automatically flows to them within 72 hours according to the warning printed on the statement ("please allow 72 hrs for the points to appear" – paraphrased).

The next thing that's funky about that original statement, and I/someone may well have mentioned this before to Mitch or on the site, is the activity dates. The activity dates entered to generate the report are printed as a date range between "10-01-99 and 12-31-99". So why does the activity section below include August and September 1999 activity when it only takes the hotel 72 hours to post the points? Again, it's another suspicious sign that someone has likely messed with the orderly flow of data or got it edited OR someone altered the original of that printed statement before presenting to the public (anyone know a career felon in fraud who might do such a thing?). Take your choice. Something smells pretty bad.

If that isn't enough, there were other data flaws/concerns on those statements that we raised in July 2008 but in the interest of brevity, I won't bog you down with them today.

The Timeline is still a Big Problem

Further, look at this map link:

According to his book, that's the path Larry took to Chicago in the very recent days before his arrival there (if he is to be believed and we don't but).

Late October: From South Carolina, drive to Colorado

Nov 1-2, in Alamosa, CO hotel

Nov 3-6, in Chicago, IL Hotel, etc

The zig-zag itinerary isn't the most ideal from a practical point of view. What's the reason for that when the guy doesn't work (he's "totally disabled" remember)? No job. No wife and kids. He didn't really have to be anywhere except where he wanted to be if he wasn't up to no good (like moving drugs ..).

Here's the account from his book:

"Between September and the first of November, 1999, I kept in touch with Lee [Dukes, the godson he

promised the media at his PC he wouldn't name - WTPL] while making [drug -WTPL] deliveries to his Uncle David. It was while on one of my trips to South Carolina in late October, 1999 (with permission from my Parole Officer) that I was informed of Lee's impending graduation from the Great Lakes Navy Training Center on November 5, 1999. There was no time for me to return to Colorado and process a new travel permit through my parole officer [but he had time to hang out in Alamosa, CO for two nights after driving back rather than flying before heading to Chicago - WTPL], so I contacted his office and left a message on the voice mail system that I would be returning to Colorado from my South Carolina trip a couple of weeks late. What I did in actual reality was to drive back to Colorado, and flew out of Colorado Springs to Chicago via Salt Lake City & Louisville/Cincinnati, departing the evening of November 2, 1999 on Delta Airlines arriving at Chicago O'Hare the morning of November 3, 1999." Page 34-35 of SinLiar's book.

So he doesn't have time for his parole officer while hanging out in Alamosa, CO (close to Pueblo, CO) for a couple of nights before he departs to Chicago. That account doesn't add up easily either, does it?

But even if we ignore that, there's much more that's very substantial:

From his press conference

"I flew out of Colorado Springs, CO on November 2, 1999 arriving in O'Hare early in the morning of November 3" Transcript of verbal statement by SinLiar at 06/18/08: National Press Conference

"I flew out of Colorado Springs, Colorado to Chicago on November 2, 1999, arriving in O'Hare early in the morning of November 3, 1999." 06/18/08 National Press Conference Printed Statement to media

Many times during his radio interviews, SinLiar stressed that he arrived in Chicago very early in the morning of November 3rd, 1999.

Here's the real problem those statements and his original Choice Hotel Points statement present: The original Choice Hotel statement says that Larry stayed in Alamosa, CO on November 1st and November 2nd (evenings). Read that again and think about it. The statement SinLiar provided says that he was in a hotel in Alamosa, CO during the evening of November 2nd – not flying to Chicago on a red eye. Why pay for a room when you're not going to be there and knew that many days in advance? SinLiar said he realized when and where he needed to be while he was in SC – well in advance of Nov 1st-2nd.

It takes more than five hours to drive from Alamosa, CO to Colorado Springs Airport:

The latest Delta Airlines flight on the first Tuesday in November from Colorado Springs to anywhere towards Chicago that I can find going years back happens to go to Salt Lake City (a Delta hub). It's flight DL 3821 which departs Colorado Springs at 6:55PM (latest time I could find). Now one might be late but they wouldn't plan on being late when figuring their checkout time.

- Let's say 1/2 hr for checkout, pack the car and gas up,
 - 1/4hr for one stop to pee the Pepsi (drinking 36 Pepsi's/day as he claims he does, during the waking hours, is about half a 24 of Pepsi's while driving 5 plus hours so he'd have to stop a take a leak) and gas up again
 - the drive from Alamosa, CO to the Colorado Spring airport is 5 hrs and 12 mins (roughly)
 - and 3/4 hr to park, buy the ticket, check in, go through security and board.
- That's planning it really tight.

That would mean that the latest one would want to leave their hotel room in Alamosa, CO is to catch a departure from Colorado Springs at (6:55PM) is 12:13 pm with tight planning. Can anyone here imagine SinLiar agreeing to pay for another night for the privilege of staying 73 minutes beyond checkout time? Hardly. He'd sleep in his car before he'd do that. SinLiar's timeline including Alamosa, CO for the night of November 2nd simply doesn't make any sense. And he can't be two places at once as the so called "proof" he provides suggests.

The Colorado Springs Airport basically shuts down for any departures by 10pm (when the FedEx courier flights leave). So even if we're off by an hour or two with flight DL 3821, it's not enough time to plan on staying the night in Alamosa, CO when he's leaving for Chicago on a redeye.

There's NO doubt that there's some serious BS going down with SinLiar's documents (like we've known all along). Something has definitely been forged or made up because they simply don't jive. And those were the only two documents of 'evidence' that SinLiar ever submitted in the past two years to substantiate his Chicago claims about Obama. In other words, not only does SinLiar not have any proof. From the above, he doesn't even have any evidence. No wonder he couldn't 'find' any other documentation – it would be too much work to forge for someone "totally disabled".

"Where's the proof, Larry ?" has been my handle along with "Where's the restraining orders" etc. After two years, most definitely, there is absolutely no proof.

Time to change my handle.

Maybe "Where's the evidence, Larry?" because there isn't any of that either.

Or maybe "Where's the jailbird, Larry?" because it looks like his last bunch of scams are about to come to an end.

If SinLiar ever litigates against anyone in the next two years, nearly any American citizen probably has a semi-respectable counter claim. Because he tried to defraud the entire country.

As for the title of the blog, the document "proof" SinLiar provided proves it was a scam all along.

Gotta go. I'm off to email Choice Hotels to see if they'll give SinLiar points for prison time !

THE BEGINNING:

Larry made a video that he uploaded to You Tube on January 8, 2008. In that video he claimed to have had a sexual and drug encounter with then State Senator Barack Obama in November 1999.

Larry has since removed the video, but You Tube, as we all know is a venue where a video that allegedly had over a million hits becomes viral. Videos are copied so that although the original might have been deleted by the author, many copies remain. This is one such copy which is NOT doctored or altered in any way.

The video claimed that the events took place between November 3rd and November 8th 1999. Keep in mind that then State Senator Obama was engaged in a very high profile and heated race with Bobby Rush to win the Democratic Congressional Primary. To the reasonable mind, one could say that it would have been political suicide for a politician to openly engage in the activities that Larry claims Obama engaged in.

The most outstanding thing about Larry's initial You Tube video has turned out to be OUR version of "The Dog That Didn't Bark". There was no mention of the murdered Trinity United Church of Christ choir director, Donald Young.

In fact Donald Young did not come up in any of Larry's initial radio appearances either. Keep in mind that Larry's video appeared on the internet on January 8th, 2008. The very first mention of Donald Young came on a Jeff Rense show on March 10th, 2008 in which Larry announced a "bombshell" on the air. That's an entire two months from the time the You Tube video appeared. That metaphorical dog was awfully quiet for two months regarding Donald Young.

One might ask, 'when DID Larry start talking about the Donald Young story?' Some feel that the first mention of Donald Young was mentioned 2/17/2008 on the Cao Show. It was there that Larry said:

"They've even posted something on the web to where it comes, if you Google my name, stating hey, you know Sinclair is going to be founded murdered and then they're going to try and blame that on Obama too."

Cao, the host of the radio show told Larry that murder was not being a stretch of the imagination there is the story of the murdered gay choir director at Obama's church.

About a month after the Cao interview, Larry started throwing weather balloons to his supporters about Donald Young's murder. Rather than make definitive statements about Donald Young, his blog posts were headed by questions. Its almost as if he was looking for some help from his supporters to put the Donald Young story together. He even solicited his supporters to go out and find other men in which Obama may have had similar experiences. They were not successful.

LARRY LOSES A STAUNCH SUPPORTER:

In March, 2008, Larry's close confidant and staunch supporter, "Victor" began to question Larry about this new "injection" into his meme about his encounters with Obama. Eventually, there was a fallout between Victor and Larry, because according to letters from Victor, that were published on Big Head DC by Larry, Victor felt there were many discrepancies in Larry's story and that the Donald Young story was not only unexpected (two months after broadcasting the video on You Tube), but also inconsistent with what Larry had said in the past.

MY INTERVIEWS WITH TWO CHICAGOANS:

I had the opportunity to interview two people about the Donald Young murder. Both live in Chicago. One is a journalist, the other is the head of a Gay Men Coalition.

The Journalist was interviewed a few weeks ago. One of the questions I asked him was how well did he know Donald Young. The journalist, who asked to remain anonymous for the time being told me he did not know Mr. Young personally, but he knew of Mr. Young, prior to his death. He said he knew of Mr. Young's accomplishments as well as attended the Donald Young funeral at TUCC.

I asked him could Donald Young have been attached to the Obama campaign back in 2007? He said that was highly unlikely since Donald Young had just achieved his second Masters degree and was also heavily involved with TUCC's choir as well as conducting other choirs at public events. The Journalist said his plate was full and in his opinion could not have found time for anything else, like campaigning. It was PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE that Donald Young was active in his music as well as his teaching.

Last week I also interviewed a leader of a Gay Coalition movement in Chicago. I will not reveal his name because it is my experience that those opposed to having the TRUTH told about Larry Sinclair and his tale about Obama, Sex, Drugs and Murder would probably try and harass this man.

For the purposes of this article, I will refer to him as Mr. Gilletto. Mr. Gilletto told me that his organization had been in constant touch with the CPD since the murders of both Larry Bland and Donald Young. According to Mr. Gilletto, the purpose of staying in touch with the CPD was to determine if gay men were under attack by a serial killer. He explained that both lived in close proximity to each other. He also explained that his contact with CPD was to see if there was any connection to the murders in terms of forensics. The CPD and Mr. Gilletto are still in contact, and as of this writing, Mr. Gilletto was not at liberty to tell me the findings of the forensics.

However, Mr. Gilletto said that there were indeed similarities in the two murders. The obvious of courser was that they were gay and African American men. Secondly, as I previously mentioned they lived in the

same neighborhood. The last similarity is something that I consider and exclusive and had never heard before. BOTH MEN WERE MEMBERS OF A SOCIAL NETWORK CALLED Adam 4 Adam. Adam 4 Adam, as described in Wikipedia has had its share of problems with more than a few of their members dying under unusual circumstances after meeting with someone from that network.

It's very interesting that in my research, I found the internet SATURATED with the Donald Young/Larry Sinclair/Barack Obama invective, yet no where did I find a reference to Young or Bland having been members of a social network with a dubious history.

LARRY'S AFFIDAVIT TO THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT:

The real challenge came in April, 2008. After much pressure from his supporters, Larry contacted the Chicago Police Department and sent them an affidavit of what he knew about Donald Young. In doing this, he offered to submit telephone numbers as well. As M&N pointed out in a post dated around the same time, Larry's minions assumed the numbers he was sending to the CPD were the numbers that Donald Young called from. By the way, obtaining those numbers are very easy. They normally come in your monthly statements, or you can obtain them online.

Sinclair allegedly led his supporters to believe he was submitting Donald Young's numbers from Young's alleged contact with Sinclair. However, all he submitted to the CPD were the FOUR phone numbers he claimed that he had while moving from one place to another.

But wait! That's not the most telling and damning thing about the Donald Young saga and Larry Sinclair.

The affidavit is lacking a lot of the bluster and hoopla that Larry was so famous for on his blog.

No where in that affidavit does Larry mention his often sensational claims that Obama and Reverend Wright had something to do with the Donald Young's murder. This is quite a contrast to what appeared on his blog about Donald Young and the Obama-Wright connection.

(That darn dog just refuses to bark!)

I found it odd that for weeks, Larry's blog was filled with speculation and accusations about Obama and his connection to the Donald Young and Larry Bland murders. In fact, Larry Bland was never mentioned in the affidavit nor was any hint that Larry felt there was a connection between Obama and the two murders.

(Damn that non-barking dog!)

THE NATIONAL PRESS CONFERENCE:

In another case of "The Dog That Didn't Bark", after thoroughly reviewing the June 18, 2008 NPC transcript of Larry's statement at his infamous press conference, again, like the affidavit to the CPD, no where in his statement did he mention any connection to the Obama or Wright and the Donald Young murder.

Conclusion: Curious incidents...

I find it curious that Larry Sinclair never mentioned Donald Young in his initial video.

I find it curious that Larry Sinclair never mentioned Donald Young in any of his radio interviews until two months after his initial video.

I find it curious that given all the sensationalism and garish headlines on his blogs about the

Obama/Wright murder conspiracy, not once was his declarative statements about Barack Obama's culpability in the Donald Young murder mentioned in his affidavit to the CPD nor his written statement at the National press Club.

The Dog That Didn't Bark, is the metaphorical way of saying Larry Sinclair's story about Donald Young contacting him as well as implicating Barack Obama in the murder of Donald Young is missing some key elements. Those missing elements are essentially the "non-barking dog".

PROBLEMS WITH LARRY'S DONALD YOUNG STORY

I now outline the problems with Larry's story, making reference to the source material listed below, which constitutes material transcribed from publically disseminated interviews/documents that are DIRECTLY and IRREFUTABLY related to Mr Sinclair.

The first thing to note is that Larry originally said he was ignored by the Obama campaign [#2]. Larry tries to cover for this inconsistency by saying that he became aware that Mr. Young was not with the campaign but personally involved with Obama [Affidavit]. However, Larry is also stating that it was Mr. Young's actions that made him hold off going 'public' [#5] – which obviously implies a strong link to the business of the campaign and since Larry claims he only left his numbers with the campaign this, by default, makes the campaign the conduit between Young and Sinclair.

It is interesting to note that Larry said his impetus to move from being inspired by Obama to his current course of action was listening to Obama talking to a young person about not having touched drugs since he was a teen [#3]. However, as discovered by LBG of Death by 1000 Papercuts, the very first mention of his past drug use on the campaign trail (which was in fact to a group of teens) was in late November of 2007 [#1]. Why then, was Mr. Sinclair contacting the Obama campaign [#2] and receiving calls from Mr. Young [Affidavit] in September, prior to the stated act that he argues gave him impetus to action?

Returning to the theme of Mr. Sinclair holding off action against the Obama campaign due to Mr. Young's phone calls and promises that Obama would rectify this himself [#5] and also saying that he did not take his story to the press until the 1st of January 2008 so any reference to leaks of his story back in 2007 could only have come from the Obama campaign [#4] - it is perhaps a tad on the unfortunate side for Mr. Sinclair that he previously stated that he first reported it to MSNBC last fall and that he had tried every way possible to get it out since 'last fall', resorting to YouTube as a last resort [#3] and it is further unfortunate for Mr. Sinclair that subsequent to those statements he also said he had reported the matter to the DNC last year [#6].

Ah Mr. Sinclair, the truth always shines through the lies in those moments where you do not watch yourself carefully enough and these moments of yours are many.

It is, perhaps, of no surprise that Mr. Sinclair had initially said that his phone company would be able to provide proof of his phone conversations with Mr. Young [#7] but now says that the phone company is unable to provide the records and links this to the grand, nefarious and ever-expanding tale of conspiracy that Mr. Sinclair continues to weave [#8].

1. It's not about the gay sex – truly!

Larry emphatically states that his allegations about Obama are not about the gay sex, it just had to come out to explain the crack use, with the added little extra that these scandals are a dime a dozen with regards to politicians these days – ho hum [#2] and it wasn't intended as a reason not to vote for Obama [#16]. But if the gay sex was not an issue why did Larry go on about the implications of Obama getting busted receiving oral sex in the Whitehouse [#3], or getting caught in another country where gay sex is illegal [#7] or musing about the character of a man who would receive oral sex from strangers [#17]? Further, it was certainly all about gay sex with his Donald Young story. In truth, he has worked this angle all along.

2. 'Remembering' his phone calls with Mr. Young

In early February Larry said he considered Obama bi-sexual based on his personal experiences [#4]. In mid February Cao mentions the 'murdered choir director' in an interview with Larry Sinclair [#5]. By late February Larry expresses that he truly believes there would be others who had similar tales that would come forward at some point [#7]. By early to mid March he first mentions, in sparse detail, having talked to a Mr. Young after a person emails him about the Young murder, claiming he has an 'oh my god' moment. He initially gets Donald's first name wrong and communicates only that he 'understands' Donald was gay [#8].

By the time he submits his affidavit to the CPD on 'April Fools Day' he is stating that Mr. Young had directly communicated to him in late October 2007, via text message, that he (Young) was intimately involved with Obama [#9]. Why was there no mention of these very significant conversations until April, regardless of whether Donald was alive or not or was in fact the 'Mr. Young' Larry refers to? If he was shopping this to media last year and was not getting any bites wouldn't it seem obvious to have saved text message details and immediately requested phone records? After all, not only was this evidence of another man having had sexual relations with Obama but Larry claimed the man knew about the gay sex part of Larry's 'tale' without even being told [#9].

The truth of the matter appears to lie buried within this statement:

'Actually, when I first found out that he had been murdered I didn't even click, I mean the name didn't click, it took about a week or so for me to actually piece together (to go) wait a minute, here I am getting phone calls from this person, I'm talking to an individual who himself is openly gay.' [#11]

But let us peel back the lie and bring out the truth:

'When I first found out he had been murdered, an openly gay person associated with Obama's church, it took about a week or so for me to actually piece together a semi feasible tale of a connection between Mr. Young and myself'.

Finally, in July he claimed that it was in March that he started becoming aware of Donald Young [#16], however the Cao interview tells us he was aware of the story weeks earlier [#5].

3. Oral Sex Polygraph Results

There were two question series in the polygraph examination, the first on oral sex and the second on drug use. Larry does not like to talk about the oral sex series because on that he absolutely bombed – unequivocally and strongly the polygrams showed he was lying [#6]. Little wonder then that when he does refer to the polygraph results he focuses on the second series [#14 & #17]. However, given that Larry argued in the first instance that a. the polygraph would be the standard by which his allegations would be proven true [#1], and b. that he had to bring up the oral sex in order to validate the drug claims (i.e. they were inter-dependent) [#2 & #4] then the results of series 1, alone, show his story about Obama to be a lie. Effectively, the bombshell of his Donald Young allegations and continued feeding of that sub-plot served to over-shadow and distract away from his massive failing on the oral sex questions and the implications of that failing therein.

FYI – Dan Parisi held a NPC event on the same day as Larry in which he stated 'I deny that I ever received any bribe at anytime in regard to the tests. The bribe claims are outlandish and without merit'.

4. Young's Death and Larry's Safety

Mr. Sinclair worked to build and reinforce the idea of a sinister Obama who was involved in Donald's murder and is also a threat to other gay men, as shown in the time-lined comments below:

In late February/early March he canvasses supporters as to whether he should consider Young's death 'a threat' [#8].

In mid-May he makes a video saying if he is found dead it should be investigated as a homicide – citing Donald Young's murder as a basis for his concerns [#12].

A week later he discusses with the ever complicit Jeff Rense ideas surrounding the danger to Obama employees who are 'believed' to be intimately involved with Obama, while also mentioning two other deaths of gay men in the Chicago area, insinuating their death certificates had been pursued and looked into by him or those supporting him [#13].

By July 1st he is talking about the amazing number of sites that say Larry will die the same way that Donald died [#15].

Later in July, just prior to his web-site being shut down, Mr. Sinclair had procured and published Donald Young's death certificate and raised innuendo and suspicion around its contents.

5. Accusations and Excuses for No Evidence

Larry has no one that can prove any of his allegations are true. He claims the limo driver would be able to attest to the oral sex incident – but he has 'disappeared'. He claims a heck of a lot of actions by someone who cannot speak for himself – Donald Young. Apparently there are Obama campaign workers who could attest to their own relationships with Obama but are too scared to say anything and are getting paid anyway [#13].

Now if I wanted to 'create' a rumor about campaign workers who are intimately involved with Obama – what would I do?

- a. Search campaign site or images on web for Obama pictured with a male.
- b. Keep searching until you find a picture associated with someone who works for the campaign (not difficult to do) but also checks out on their facebook or somewhere on the net that they are openly gay.
- c. Claim there is a relationship between this person and Obama. Encourage supporters to leave harassing messages on the person's facebook page.
- d. Hope he responds then you can claim the Obama camp has 'spoken' and twist whatever is said against the campaign or if he removes the stimulus (photos) then insinuate this proves 'guilt'. That is a classic catch-22 stitch up.

SOURCE MATERIAL BY TIMELINE – PART 2

[#1]01/18/08: Original You Tube Video: Obama's Limo Sex & Drugs

'During those time periods in 1999, I met Obama at an upscale lounge in Chicago, Illinois.

After having a few drinks, Obama and I left in my limo, began to drink. Mr. Obama acquired powdered cocaine for my use, crack cocaine for his use. I performed oral sex on Senator Obama who at the time was a State Representative for the State of Illinois...If you challenge the authenticity of this allegation, I challenge you to take a polygraph test as I will submit to as well.'

[#2]01/23/08: Rense Interview

'I never had any intentions of coming forward with any allegations of gay sex against Barrack Obama, but

in order for them to understand how I knew and how I saw him doing the crack, it had to come out...When I did become aware that he was involved in state politics it wasn't that much of an issue with me, I have seen politicians from the local level to the US Government level that have been involved in some freaky things... I mean there's been many it's not something that is so unique that it would stop you and make you think.'

[#3]02/05/08: Obama's Sex & Drug Party pt3

'Now perhaps you do support Obama and he does make it to the Oval Office and in his first year you know um he's busted gettin' head or smoking crack in the White House. You know then you got what you deserve. Right?'

[#4]02/08/08: The Right Perspective Interview with Larry Sinclair

'Everybody else is accusing me of trying to smear him with gay sex. I don't care about the sex. I had to bring the sex out because if I come out and said "Hey, Barack Obama smoked crack cocaine." What's the first question somebody will ask me, "Well, how do you know?" Well, because I was in the back of a limo giving him head while was doing it. Then they'd call me a liar because I didn't bring out the sex part to begin with.'

'Everybody, you know, throwing this thing on me saying he's gay. I have never said that this man is gay. I can say, from personal experiences that he is definitely bisexual.'

[#5]02/17/08: Cao and Larry Sinclair Interview

Larry: 'Someone even posted on, they've even posted something on the web to where it comes, if you Google my name, stating hey, you know Sinclair is going to be found murdered and then they're going to try and blame that on Obama too'

Cao comments on murder not being a stretch of the imagination because there is the story of the murdered gay choice director at Obama's church.

[#6]02/25/08: Polygraph Results

Link: <http://www.larrysinclair.org/download/polyresults.pdf>

Gelb's Report on oral sex question (1st series):

"The issue under consideration dealt with Sinclair's representation that he had performed oral sex on Barack Obama in 1999. During an extensive pre-test interview, Sinclair emphatically asserted the sex act took place in a limousine rented by him in the Chicago area...Two target questions: Did you perform oral sex on Obama in 1999? Are you lying when you say you performed oral sex on Obama in 1999? The...polygrams were hand-scored as required by the Government in a PCA examination. To render a conclusion of deception there must be a... -3 in any spot or...a grand total of -4 for both spots...Sinclair's polygrams resulted in an evaluation of "deception indication" with a score of -15 (for the oral sex questions)."

Dr. Barland's report on the 1st series:

"I scored the printout of the first series of charts (regarding oral sex)...there were strong and consistent reactions to the relevant questions. I scored the chart as -17 (Deception Indicated)."

[#7]02/26/08: Rense Interview

'What's going to happen if he does get in office, like you said, and engages in the same behavior with someone in a foreign country to where not only do they blackmail him but say it is one of those countries

where homosexuality of any sort is a federal crime to the point where he would be subject to arrest and prosecution.'

'I honestly believe that before this is over with I am not going to be standing here alone with these allegations – I truly and firmly believe that eventually there are going to be more people that have had relations with Senator Obama who are going to have the guts and the fortitude to finally come out of the closet so to speak and I think when that happens it is going to be too late for this country.'

'I am raising questions about the validity of his reports, ok. Dr Gordon made it very clear that the computer analysis of the polygrams indicated no deception indicated yet Dr Gelb did not even include in his report the results of the computer analysis of the exam.'

[#8]03/10/08: Rense Interview

'The second thing I need to address right now is probably is going to come as a bombshell to you as well. I have received numerous requests over the last few days asking me to respond to allegations going around the internet and some of the blog sites about a rumor that Paul Young – and for those who do not know who Paul Young is – this is the choir director connected to Senator Obama's church who was found murdered in his home last December. I have refused to comment either way as to whether or not myself and Mr Young had any contact. I had waited for tonight to let you know on your program that there is truth to those allegations but that I cannot get specific on them. I just needed to clear it up because I am just getting bombarded with emails, you know, individuals asking well is it true, what did you say? Mr Young and I did communicate prior to his death and I felt that since you were the first person that actually exposed the story it was only right that I formally comment on those allegations here on the Jeff Rense show...I did not meet with Mr Young face to face at any time.'

'I just need to clarify something...I think I referred to Mr Young as Paul just now and I am sitting here on my laptop talking to you looking at an email from a Paul Day who has been harassing me and the gentleman's name was Donald Young.'

'It's my understanding that he was (gay).'

[JR: When did you first hear about his (Young's) murder?] Actually, I really didn't and that's the funny thing. I had not really paid that much attention to it.

LS: I had become more aware of Donald's passing and the circumstances surrounding it after I had posted my YouTube video and I had gotten an email from one of the YouTube users asking me if I had heard about this and when I started looking into it I thought 'oh my god', that's probably why if you notice that I posted on my blog recently asking other people to comment and let me know if I should consider this a threat.

[#9]04/01/08: Affidavit of Lawrence W. Sinclair

Link: <http://www.larrysinclair.org/download/affidavit.pdf>

'This first called shocked me in that this "Mr. Young" asked me why I had not asked Senator Obama to disclose sexual encounters I had with Mr. Obama in 1999. I was shocked as I had never mentioned to the campaign or anyone working for the campaign any sexual encounters...In mid to late October 2007,I received a second call from this "Mr. Young" at which time I clearly became aware that this individual was personally involved with Senator Obama rather than an employee of his campaign. The tone of the conversation and the sexual nature of said conversation...In late October 2007 I received a text message from the gentleman identified as "Mr. Young" in which he stated he was intimately involved with Senator Obama...I had not truly become aware of the murder of Donald Young to the point of understanding this was the individual who had been contacting me last fall until a few weeks ago.'

[#10]04/04/08: Rense Interview

'Everybody's accusing me of bringing this up now to try and bolster the story but the funny thing is that I hadn't become fully aware of who I was speaking with and having communications with last fall until a few weeks ago and I had no reason to know who this person was.'

'At the end of our discussions and our communication it had developed more into him being more friendly, more familiar, kinda like trying to develop a friendship. He disclosed quite a bit of information regarding his own alleged personal involvement and I have to say alleged because I don't know for a fact. I found it interesting towards the end because it pretty much described similar incidences that I had described between Barrack and myself as to how we met.'

[Rense asks if both Larry and Donald being gay was the reason there was a warming of the relationship between them over the conversations]

'I think that's that reason that there was the ability to somehow come close and have that feeling that there was a friendship developing.'

[#11]04/28/08: Rense Interview

'Actually, when I first found out that he had been murdered I didn't even click, I mean the name didn't click, it took about a week or so for me to actually piece together (to go) wait a minute, here I am getting phone calls from this person, I'm talking to an individual who himself is openly gay.'

[#12]05/12/08: Video Statement of Larry Sinclair

'The threats that I have received have become more intense and in light of the murder of Donald Young and the apparent suicide of Ms Palfrey I thought it important that I make this public statement. In the event that something should happen to me or I am found dead for any reason, my death should be immediately investigated fully as a homicide.'

[#13]05/20/08: Rense Interview

'...recently i have been attacked, people saying "well how come larry never mentioned this he always said no one from the Obama campaign ever contacted him" and i think i made it very clear on your show when i mentioned the Donald Young incident by the time i realized what was going on and that this was someone personally intimately involved with Barack Obama

'The other murders that people were trying to tie in as hate crimes saying that Donald Young was the victim of a hate crime along with Larry Bland and Nate Spencer, both of these individuals- can be called up on the social security death index showing their names, date of birth, date of death, where they died, social security number, everything and they do come up, with ease.'

jr- 'Donald Young dead homosexual murdered very obviously for what he knew about Obama. would you suppose there are others that have had relations with Obama?'

ls—'there's no doubt in my mind'

jr—'and those people are obviously living in great fear and aren't about to open their mouths'

ls—'well I'm not going to say all of them are because a couple of them are looks like are actually employed by Barack Obama right now'

jr—'one way to keep them loyally quiet'

ls—'YEP'

jr—'interesting, wouldn't want to be in their shoes accidents happen having these time Barack bombs walking around isn't something the Obama camp is going to put up with indefinitely'

ls- 'and i agree with you and i am hoping that one of them um (clears throat) actually gets that through his head and i think he's starting to feel a little scared because ever since it was disclosed there was a belief that he was in fact one of the individuals— involved with Barack Obama all of a sudden he started taking down the photos of him and Barack Obama from his facebook ok so i mean it's interesting that the more

we investigate the more that we find the more stuff starts disappearing off the internet.'

[#14]06/18/08: National Press Conference with Larry Sinclair

'I agreed to take a polygraph test sponsored by whitehouse.com. Whitehouse.com's own examiner Dr. Gordon Barland, SLC Utah observed that on the drug question regarding Senator Obama that the computerized score found that there was less than one percent probability of deception by me. That's about as high a passing score as one could possibly obtain.'

[#15]07/01/08: Rense Interview

'And Jeff, and your reference to Donald Young, it is amazing the number of internet websites I have seen today, I have been directed to today, that have boldly stated that I'm going to end up just like Donald Young. You know they have actually predicted that Larry Sinclair will end up, you know, just a bullet riddled corpse again.'

[#16]07/04/08: Roger Friedinburg

'I didn't tell the sex part because, you know, I said it was bad or a reason not to vote for him.'

It was in March that I started becoming aware a Donald Young, who was an openly gay man, a choir director at Trinity United Christian Church, who, ah,..., Barack Obama himself had sent personal condolence letters to his family, after his murder. And I started putting pieces together [went to CPD]...and I told them that I felt that they needed to look into the possibility that Barack Obama and Jeremiah Wright either knew the person that killed Donald Young or actually hired the person that killed Donald Young.'

[#17]07/16/08: Hal Turner

'i mean, you know – here's a man that was smoking crack cocaine, getting blowjobs from an openly gay individual that he never met before that night.'

ht: Now you allegedly took a lie detector test.

lws: I took what was supposed to be one, yes.

ht: and, why don't you tell the folks what the results were.

lws: well, actually I'm still not sure what the results are, because i still haven't even been provided the, ahh, the raw data or or anything from it other than the reports provided by Dan Parisi. uhh, Edward Ira Gelb, the fake Ph.d claims that the results said i was lying. the computer analysis of the or uhh what raw data was available, made available to uhh, Gordon Barland (sp) ...uhh, according to his report, actually showed that no deception...was indicated. however, he did in fact concur with Edward Gelb's decision, because he wrote something in there and i think there's some paragraph in there that uhhh, normally if you have a contradiction between the computer analysis and the human score, you tend to refer to the umm, defer to the human score which...i think that sounds a little fishy.

My thanks to Democratista, Heidi, Glix, susanelise, P. and Pete for transcribing material that contributed to the production of this article.

