For the first time ever, 9/11 family members and advocates are taking legal action against the FBI aimed at forcing the Bureau to assess and report the evidence known to the FBI of the World Trade Center’s explosive demolition as well as other unreported 9/11 evidence.

In 2014, Congress mandated the FBI to form an external commission to conduct “an assessment of any evidence now known to the FBI that was not considered by the 9/11 Commission related to any factors that contributed in any manner to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.” A year later, the FBI released the Commission’s final report, which did not include an assessment of evidence related to the WTC demolition nor an assessment of several other areas of evidence known to the FBI. Now the Lawyers’ Committee for 9/11 Inquiry and AE911Truth need your help to advance our historic lawsuit and bring this key evidence to light.

This pamphlet was created by Stephen Francis (BA, Univ of Illinois) who is also the author of NewsFollowUp.com. He has been an antiwar activist for twenty years and has been a coordinator and speaker at a number of 9/11 Truth and Academic Freedom conferences over the last few years. The remainder of figures in the pic are professors with long-standing activism in similar causes.

A Structural Reevaluation of the Collapse of WTC 7

On Sept 3, 2019, Professor Leroy Hulsey, of the University of Alaska Fairbanks, released the two-year study of the collapse of the WTC 7 that occurred on Sept 11, 2001. It is the premise of this research that the official version of the collapse is at best erroneous. On that day, WTC 7 fell at near freefall speed into its own footprint in about seven seconds. It was not hit by a plane and there were only scattered fires on less than 10 of the 47 stories of the building.

A proper forensic investigation was scuttled by the illegal removal of debris that began within days of the collapse. Curiously, the event was not even mentioned in the 9/11 Commission Report. Professor Hulsey and his staff have been working since 2015 on this project using Abacus and SAP2000 software to provide an exhaustive analysis of this monumental occurrence. Federal investigators concluded that WTC 7 was the first steel-framed high-rise ever to have collapsed solely as a result of office fires. Professor Hulsey’s work proves this to be false.

Funding ($316,153) of the report was provided by Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth. This organization has over 3200 professionals and more than 20,000 that have been steadfast in the quest for truth. Following the release of the UAF WTC 7 draft report on Sept 3, 2019 there will be a two-month public comment period
with the final report released in late 2019. All input data and simulations will be available to the public review. See ae911truth.org for details. "Newton's third law says that when objects interact, they always exert equal and opposite forces on each other. Therefore, while an object is falling, objects in its path must push back, slowing the fall. After a considerable amount of debate about what was perceived as inaccurate statements by NIST, they finally acknowledged that the first 2.5 seconds of the collapse was at free-fall speed and changed the findings of their report. Only controlled demolition could produce this scenario. The following items detail other misrepresentations in their report. NIST said there were no side plates on the beams, but again, there were side plates that would have prevented the beams from falling off the seats. NIST omitted support beams that would have prevented collapse. An analysis of the force needed for the 13th floor to break the welds of the 12th-floor beams reveals that only around 230,000 lbs of the necessary 620,000 lbs is exhibited. And the heat (around 500 degrees) actually lessens the amount of force due to the softening of the beams, so the 230,000 is reduced to 160,000 lbs, thus the collapse could not have happened. NIST arbitrarily created notches in beams in order to match their models to the real events. NIST stops their model only a few seconds from initiation because it does not match real-time events, and give no explanation for this. If their model is continued, the top of the building twists and revolves to a vertical position, which does not reflect reality. NIST arbitrarily removed three support beams on girder number 3005, a critical component of the building analysis. NIST included events in their models that did not match the reality of the collapse. NIST refused to respond to legitimate inquiry. NIST ignored incendiary evidence indicating the use of typical demolition explosives such as thermates. The USGS took arial photos of the WTC area and documented hot spots due to molten metal in the basement areas at all the sites. This was ignored by FEMA, NIST and the 9/11 Commission Report. In fact, the 9/11 Commission Report does not even mention WTC 7. NIST was confronted with the fact the the building was in free-fall in the first few seconds of the collapse. They admitted this, changed their report, but did not address the implications of this fact. NIST's own data shows that their model is impossible. NIST's model includes buckling of beams. This buckling would slow down the collapse process, but the evidence shows freefall speed of collapse, again making their analysis impossible. NIST rationalized all arguments that contradicted their model by saying that a discussion of the laws of physics would jeopardize public safety. In the broader view of WTC 9/11 events further points can be made. WTC 1 and 2 were designed to withstand 13000 tons of lateral pressure, where even a Boeing 747 on weighs about 300 tons. There was only a few hundred gallons of fuel available after the impact of the planes to burn inside the building. Families, Lawyers, AE911Truth File Lawsuit against FBI over '9/11 Review Commission Report'